First Results from Yale 34GHz Cavity Experiment

Andrew J. Martin

For

Penny L. Slocum, O. K. Baker, J. L. Hirshfield,Y. Jiang, G. Kazakevitch, S. Kazakov,M. A. LaPointe, A. T. Malagon,S. Shchelkunov, A. Szymkowiak

Outline

- Motivation
- Experimental setup
 - Cavities
 - Receiver
 - Sensitivity
- New data
- Summary

Why look at 0.1 meV

- Several models beyond the Standard Model allow light particles
 - Pecci-Quinn axion (pseudoscalar)
 - Pseudoscalar and scalar fields from string theory
 - Hidden Sector Photons (HSP) from string theory
- Cannot be explored with particles at most accelerators. Thus provides a good complement to the LHC and Tevatron.

Experimental Setup

- Magnicon ~34.29 GHz pulsed power source
- Drive cavity is thermally tuned with H₂O
- Cold (~4 K) tunable frequency signal cavity
- HEMT (~6 K)
- Room temperature triple heterodyne receiver
- Infinium scope
- Laptop to collect data

Magnicon

- Output: 1 MW, 1µs pulses at 10 Hz. Bandwidth=1 MHz.
- 500 kV, 215 A e- beam transverse deflection system:
 - Drive cavity (11.4 GHz), 3 gain cavities, and two final cavities.
 - Transverse beam momentum is transferred to RF fields at high efficiency.



O. A. Nezhevenko et al., IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science, 0093-3813/04, 2004.

TE₀₁₁ mode for cylindrical cavity

B_{ext}

∧B₂

- In μ-wave regime, LSW with resonant cavities becomes 3-D.
- For example, scalar coupling B_{ext} ·B_{cav} does not include entire B_{cav} in any TE mode.
- But, pseudoscalar coupling $\mathbf{E}_{cav} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{ext}$ can use entire \mathbf{E}_{cav} in TM_{010} mode.

Signal Cavity

- Cu signal cavity resonant at 34 GHz, cooled to T=4 K, tunable, TE011 mode.
- Experiment can be a 2cavity LSW experiment or a 1-cavity galactic halo ALP measurement.



Signal Cavity Field Simulation



Q = Q(λ/δ), $\delta = (2\rho/\omega\mu)^{1/2}$, \rightarrow Q increases with cooling. $\overline{\mathsf{TE}}_{011} \text{ mode:} \\ \overline{\mathsf{E}}_{\Theta} = \mathsf{J'}_{0}(\mathsf{kr}) \operatorname{sin}(\pi \mathsf{z/L})$





Cavity resonance at room temperature Q=7500



Cavity resonance at T = 6 K Q=13383







Microwave Receiver



W. Guinon, private communication

Receiver Layout



FFT of Oscilloscope Trace



Noise Power Density From Cold 50 Ω Terminator



Sensitivity of Result

Dicke radiometer equation:

$$5\sigma_T = 5 \frac{T_{sys}}{\sqrt{\Delta\nu_{RF}\tau}}.$$

$$T_{sys} = T_{cavity} + T_{hemt} = 32 \text{ K}$$

$$\Delta v_{RF} = 1 \text{ MHz}, \tau = 1 \text{ s}$$

$$P_{min} = 2 \times 10^{-18} \text{ W}$$

Field Overlap Integrals

Galactic halo ALPs

$$C_{lmn} \equiv \frac{1}{V} \left(\int_{V} d^{3} \mathbf{x} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{ext} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{cav} \right)^{2}$$

ALPs generated in LSW experiment

$$G \equiv \omega_0^2 \int_{V'} \int_V d^3 \mathbf{x} d^3 \mathbf{y} \frac{exp(ik|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|)FF}{4\pi|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|}$$

Hidden sector photons in LSW experiment

$$G_{HSP} \equiv \omega_0^2 \int_{V'} \int_V d^3 \mathbf{x} d^3 \mathbf{y} \frac{exp(ik|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|)A(\mathbf{y})A'(\mathbf{x})}{4\pi|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|},$$

H. Peng et al., Nucl. Inst. Meth. A 444, 569 (2000), J. Jaeckel and A. Ringwald, Phys. Lett. B 659 (3) 509, 2008.

Geometry Factor* – hidden photons

$$G_{HSP} \equiv \omega_0^2 \int_{V'} \int_V d^3 \mathbf{x} d^3 \mathbf{y} \frac{exp(ik|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|)A(\mathbf{y})A'(\mathbf{x})}{4\pi|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|},$$



Separates geometry information (e.g. cavity fields and their overlap) from the remainder of the calculation*.

* J. Jaeckel and A. Ringwald, Phys. Lett. B 659 (3) 509, 2008.

Sensitivity to hidden photons

$$P_{trans} = \chi^4 Q Q' \frac{m_{\gamma'}^8}{\omega_0^8} |G_{HSP}|^2.$$



Sensitivity to scalar halo ALPs

$$P_a = g^2 V B_0^2 \rho_a C_{lmn} Q$$

$$C_{lmn} \equiv \frac{1}{V} \left(\int_{V} d^{3} \mathbf{x} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{ext} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{cav} \right)$$

$$\int_{V} d^3 \mathbf{x} (E_{cav}^2 + B_{cav}^2) = 1.$$

Scalar coupling to B_z in cavity (not B_r).

 $\mathbf{2}$



P. Sikivie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 1415 (1983).

Axion-like particles



J. Jaeckel and A. Ringwald, Ann. Rev. of Nuc. and Particle Sci., 60, 405, 2010.

New Results

- We have taken our first batch of halo axion data < 1 month ago
- T_{cav} ~ 4.2 K
- B = 7 T
- 2.5 MHz steps 34.2775 34.3025 GHz
- Analysis is ongoing

Background Spectra

Background spectrum



Cavity tuned to 34.37 GHz

Raw Spectrum



Cavity tuned to 34.2850 GHz

Background Subtracted



Cavity tuned to 34.37 GHz

Up Next for Halo Axions

- Take B=0 runs for background subtraction
 Difference should be flat
- Need better thermal stability
 - Disconnect cryostat space from inside waveguides
 - Run with liquid helium in cryostat
- Finish analysis

Mid-Term Future

- Run with Magnicon for LSW data
 - HSP
 - Scalar axion measurement
- Build TM₀₁₀ cavities
 - Do pseudoscalar axion LSW
 - Improve halo axion sensitivity
- Add the ability to tune the receiver
 - Allows us to widen the halo axion coverage

Summary

- Currently working on the first batch of data for halo axions
- Know how to do first LSW experiments
- TM010 cavity will make halo axion searches more powerful and pseudoscalar axion LSW search possible
- Know how to widen the search range for halo axions

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the ONR for supporting this project.